

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

No. 2:22-cr-00141-TLN

Plaintiff,

v.

JOSE TRINIDAD MARTINEZ  
SANTOYO,

**RELATED CASE ORDER**

Defendant.

---

JOSE TRINIDAD MARTINEZ  
SANTOYO,

No. 2:25-cv-02656-DJC-CKD

Petitioner,

v.

LASHA BOYDEN, et. al.,

Defendants.

A Notice of Related Cases on September 16, 2025. Examination of the above-captioned actions reveals they are related within the meaning of Local Rule 123 (E.D. Cal. 1997). Pursuant to Rule 123 of the Local Rules of the United States District Court for the Eastern District of California, two actions are related when they involve the same parties and are based on the same or similar claim(s); when they involve the same transaction, property, or event; or when they “involve similar questions of fact and the same question of law and their assignment to the same Judge . . . is likely to effect a substantial savings of judicial effort.” L.R. 123(a). Further,

1 [i]f the Judge to whom the action with the lower or lowest number  
2 has been assigned determines that assignment of the actions to a  
3 single Judge is likely to effect a savings of judicial effort or other  
economies, that Judge is authorized to enter an order reassigning all  
higher numbered related actions to himself or herself.

4 L.R. 123(c).

5 The Court concludes the cases involve the same questions of law and fact as they arose  
6 from the same conduct. Reassignment of the cases to the same district judge is “likely to effect a  
7 substantial savings of judicial effort” because it will necessarily avoid the “substantial duplication  
8 of labor” by the judiciary of this district, including any issues regarding discovery or admissibility  
9 of evidence and any questions of law that may arise thereto. *See* E.D. Cal. L.R. 123(a)(3)-(4).

10 Relating the cases under Local Rule 123, however, merely has the result that both actions  
11 are assigned to the same judge, it does not consolidate the actions. Under the regular practice of  
12 this Court, related cases are generally assigned to the judge and magistrate judge to whom the  
13 first filed action was assigned.

14 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the action denominated 2:25-cv-02656-DJC-CKD is  
15 reassigned to District Judge Troy L. Nunley and the caption shall read 2:25-cv-02656-TLN-CKD.  
16 Any dates currently set in 2:25-cv-02656-DJC-CKD are hereby VACATED. IT IS FURTHER  
17 ORDERED that the Clerk of Court adjust the assignment of cases to compensate for this  
18 reassignment.

19 IT IS SO ORDERED.

20 DATED: September 19, 2025



21 Troy L. Nunley  
22 Chief United States District Judge  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28